arXiv:0911.2946v2 [gr-qc] 1 Mar 2010

NON-MINIMAL COUPLING OF PHOTONS AND AXIONS

Alexander B. Balakin[1]

Kazan State University, Kremlevskaya street 18, 420008, Kazan, Russia,

and

Wei-Tou Ni[2]

Center for Gravitation and Cosmology, Purple Mountain Observatory,
Chinese Academy of Sciences, Nanjing, 210008, People's Republic of China, and
National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences,
Beijing, 100006, People's Republic of China

Abstract We establish a new self-consistent system of equations accounting for a non-minimal interaction of gravitational, electromagnetic and axion fields. The procedure is based on a non-minimal extension of the standard Einstein-Maxwell-axion action. The general properties of a ten-parameter family of non-minimal linear models are discussed. We apply this theory to the models with pp-wave symmetry and consider propagation of electromagnetic waves non-minimally coupled to the gravitational and axion fields. We focus on exact solutions of electrodynamic equations, which describe quasi-minimal and non-minimal optical activity induced by the axion field. We also discuss empirical constraints on coupling parameters from astrophysical birefringence and polarization rotation observations.

PACS numbers: 04.20.-q, 04.40.-b, 04.40.Nr
Key words: Non-minimal interactions, axion field, birefringence, polarization rotation

---

[1] e-mail: Alexander.Balakin@ksu.ru

[2] e-mail: wtni@pmo.ac.cn

1 Introduction

The topic of pseudoscalar-photon interaction and axion theory is a very attractive branch of modern physics, and there are two reasons for this interest. First of all, it is an interest to axions as hypothetical particles, which appear in the context of the strong CP-violation problem and spontaneous breaking of symmetry in the early universe (see, e.g., [1, 2] for review and basic references), and which are considered as one of the Dark Matter candidates (see, e.g., [3, 4] and references therein). The direct detection of the axions is formulated to be one of goals of modern experiments in High Energy Physics (see, e.g., [5]).

The second reason is connected with an interest to the theoretical concept of pseudoscalar field ϕ (axion field) associated with pseudoscalar-photon interaction. This occurs first in the study of electromagnetism and equivalence principles [6, 7, 8]. This concept is a base for the so-called Einstein-Maxwell-axion theory, Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton-axion theory and their generalizations (see, e.g., [6]-[18]).

One of the most significant item in the theory of axion fields is the problem of interaction of electromagnetic and pseudoscalar (axion) fields, the well-known application of the photon-axion coupling being the effect of polarization rotation [6, 19, 20] in the observations of Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) radiation (see, e.g., [21, 22] and references therein). Several groups are working on the experiments with vacuum birefringence and vacuum dichroism to look for the photon-axion coupling [23]-[26].

It is well-known that the standard effect of optical activity induced by the axion field takes place when the pseudoscalar field ϕ has a non-vanishing four-gradient [8, 27], i.e. when $\partial_k \phi \neq 0$. This effect can be described in the framework of classical electrodynamics in terms of linear constitutive equations [8, 27, 28], linking an excitation tensor H^{ik} and the Maxwell tensor F_{mn}. When the pseudo-scalar field is constant, $\phi = \phi_0$, and thus does not contribute to the vacuum Maxwell equations, new possibilities for the axion-photon interaction exist: one of them is discussed in the work [29], which focuses on reflection and transmission of a wave at an interface between two media. We discuss here another possibility related to *non-minimal* optical activity induced by photon-axion coupling, which also can occur in the case of a constant pseudoscalar field.

The standard description of the non-minimal coupling of the gravitational field with scalar, electromagnetic and gauge fields is based on the introduction of specific cross-terms into the Lagrangian, which contain the Riemann tensor R_{ikmn}, the Ricci tensor, R_{kn}, and Ricci scalar, R, on the one hand, and the corresponding fields and their derivatives, on the other hand. The theory of non-minimal coupling is elaborated in detail for the scalar field (real and complex fields, as well as Higgs multiplets)(see, e.g., [30] for review and references). Special attention in these investigations is focused on two models, the first of them has the $\xi R \Phi^2$ coupling, and the second has the so-called non-minimal derivative coupling [31]-[33]. The study of a non-minimal coupling of gravity with electromagnetic field started in [34] and this theory has been developed by many authors (see, e.g., [35]-[48]). The generalization of the idea of non-minimal interactions to the case of torsion coupled to the electromagnetic field has been made in [49, 50]. Exact solutions in the framework of non-minimal Einstein-Yang-Mills and Einstein-Yang-Mills-Higgs theories are discussed in [51]-[56].

In this paper we focus on the non-minimal generalization of the Einstein-Maxwell-axion theory. The derivation of master equations is fulfilled in analogy with non-minimal scalar field theory and non-minimal electromagnetic field theory. The items which are specific for the pseudoscalar field theory are discussed in detail. The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we discuss a formalism of non-minimal Einstein-Maxwell-axion theory; a brief introduction to the minimal theory is given in subsection 2.1; the non-minimal extension of the Lagrangian and the derivation of the corresponding master equations are presented in subsection 2.2; subsection 2.3 contains the description of the model in terms of constitutive equations. In section 3 we apply the obtained master equations to the models with pp-wave symmetry and discuss examples of the exact solutions for the gravitational, pseudoscalar and electromagnetic fields. In section 4 we consider the propagation of test electromagnetic waves coupled to pseudoscalar field in the pp-wave background and focus on the effect of non-minimal optical activity induced by the axion field. In section 5 we summarize the results.

2 Non-minimal coupling of gravitational, electromagnetic and axion fields

2.1 Minimal model as a starting point

In order to explain the novelty of our approach, let us first introduce the case of gravitational-electromagnetic-axion fields minimally coupled. The simplest minimal action functional is

$$S_{(\mathrm{M})}=\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[\frac{R}{\kappa}+\frac{1}{2}F^{mn}F_{mn}+\frac{1}{2}\phi F^{*mn}F_{mn}-g^{mn}\nabla_m\phi\nabla_n\phi+m^2_{(\mathrm{A})}\phi^2\right] , \tag{1}$$

where g is the determinant of the metric tensor g_{ik}, ∇_m is a covariant derivative, R is the Ricci scalar. The Maxwell tensor F_{mn} is given by

$$F_{mn} \equiv \nabla_m A_n - \nabla_n A_m \, , \tag{2}$$

where A_m is an electromagnetic potential four-vector; $F^{*mn} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{mnpq}F_{pq}$ is the tensor dual to F_{pq}; $\epsilon^{mnpq} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}E^{mnpq}$ is the Levi-Civita tensor, E^{mnpq} is the absolutely antisymmetric Levi-Civita symbol with $E^{0123} = 1$. The dual Maxwell tensor satisfies the condition

$$\nabla_k F^{*ik} = 0 \, . \tag{3}$$

The first term in the brackets is the Hilbert-Einstein Lagrangian; the second term is the standard Lagrangian for an electromagnetic field; the third term is the pseudoscalar-photon interaction Lagrangian [6, 7, 8]; the fourth and fifth terms constitute the pseudoscalar Lagrangian.

The symbol ϕ stands for a pseudoscalar field; this quantity is dimensionless providing the terms $\frac{1}{2}F^{mn}F_{mn}$ and $\frac{1}{2}\phi F^{*mn}F_{mn}$ to have the same dimensionality. The axion field itself, Φ, is considered to be proportional to this quantity $\Phi = \Psi_0\phi$ with a constant Ψ_0. In order to simplify the calculations we replace the potential of electromagnetic field A_i with the term A_i/Ψ_0, and consider the constant κ to be equal to $\kappa = \frac{8\pi G\Psi_0^2}{c^4}$. The term $m_{(\mathrm{A})}$ is proportional to a (hypothetical) mass of an axion, $m_{(\mathrm{A})} = 2\pi c \ m_{(\mathrm{axion})}/h$; h is the Planck constant. We use the signature $+ - - -$.

The variation of the action functional (1) with respect to the four-vector potential A_i gives the minimal (vacuum) Maxwell equations

$$\nabla_k \left[F^{ik} + \phi F^{*ik} \right] = 0 \,, \tag{4}$$

which can be transformed into

$$\nabla_k F^{ik} + \ F^{*ik} \nabla_k \phi = 0 \tag{5}$$

due to the equation (3). Equivalently, equation (4) can be written as

$$\nabla_k H^{ik} = 0 \,, \quad H^{ik} = C^{ikmn} F_{mn} \,, \tag{6}$$

where C^{ikmn} is the constitutive tensor

$$C^{ikmn} = \frac{1}{2} \left(g^{im} g^{kn} - g^{in} g^{km} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \phi \epsilon^{ikmn} \,, \tag{7}$$

and H^{ik} is the excitation tensor [8, 27]. Minimal equations for the axion field can be obtained from the action (1) by the variation with respect to the pseudoscalar field ϕ, yielding

$$\left[\nabla^k \nabla_k + m^2_{(\mathrm{A})} \right] \phi = -\frac{1}{4} F^{*mn} F_{mn} \,. \tag{8}$$

Minimal equations for the gravitational field obtained by the variation of (1) with respect to metric g^{ik} are

$$R_{ik} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{ik} = \kappa \left[T^{(\mathrm{EM})}_{ik} + T^{(\mathrm{A})}_{ik} \right] \,. \tag{9}$$

Here $T^{(\mathrm{EM})}_{ik}$ is the standard stress-energy tensor of pure electromagnetic field:

$$T^{(\mathrm{EM})}_{ik} \equiv \frac{1}{4} g_{ik} F^{mn} F_{mn} - F_{im} {F_k}^m \,, \tag{10}$$

and $T^{(\mathrm{A})}_{ik}$, given by

$$T^{(\mathrm{A})}_{ik} \equiv \nabla_i \phi \nabla_k \phi - \frac{1}{2} g_{ik} \left[\nabla^m \phi \nabla_m \phi - m^2_{(\mathrm{A})} \phi^2 \right] \,, \tag{11}$$

is the stress-energy tensor of the pseudoscalar (axion) field ϕ.

2.2 Non-minimal extension of the model

2.2.1 Lagrangian and non-minimal susceptibilities

We consider the total action functional as a sum of minimal and non-minimal contributions:

$$S = S_{(\mathrm{M})} + S_{(\mathrm{NM})} \,, \tag{12}$$

where $S_{(\mathrm{M})}$ is given by (1). The non-minimal contribution $S_{(\mathrm{NM})}$ is, generally, a nonlinear function of all independent invariants containing Riemann tensor R_{iklm} and the dual ones ${}^*R_{iklm}$, R^*_{iklm}, Ricci tensor R_{mn} and Ricci scalar R in convolutions with the Maxwell tensor and its dual, as well as with derivatives of pseudoscalar field. The set of such invariants for pure electromagnetic field was discussed in [47]; then it was supplemented by the invariants containing scalar Higgs fields in [53, 54, 56]. For the axion field, this set of invariants can be constructed analogously, and here we focus only on the following Lagrangian linear in the curvature:

$$S_{(\mathrm{NM})}{=}\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}^{ikmn}F_{ik}F_{mn}{+}\frac{1}{2}\chi^{ikmn}_{(\mathrm{A})}\phi\ F_{ik}F^*_{mn}{-}\Re^{mn}_{(\mathrm{A})}\nabla_m\phi\nabla_n\phi{+}\eta_{(\mathrm{A})}R\phi^2\right\}. \tag{13}$$

The quantity $\mathcal{R}^{ikmn}$ is a non-minimal three-parameter susceptibility tensor [47], which has a form

$$\mathcal{R}^{ikmn} = q_1 R g^{ikmn} + q_2 \Re^{ikmn} + q_3 R^{ikmn}\,, \tag{14}$$

where

$$g^{ikmn} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(g^{im}g^{kn}{-}g^{in}g^{km})\,, \tag{15}$$

$$\Re^{ikmn} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(R^{im}g^{kn}{-}R^{in}g^{km}{+}R^{kn}g^{im}{-}R^{km}g^{in})\,. \tag{16}$$

The constants q_1, q_2 and q_3 are non-minimal parameters describing the linear coupling of the Maxwell tensor F_{mn} with curvature [47]. The quantity $\chi^{ikmn}_{(\mathrm{A})}$ given by

$$\chi^{ikmn}_{(\mathrm{A})}{=}Q_1 R g^{ikmn}{+}Q_2\Re^{ikmn}{+}Q_3 R^{ikmn}\,, \tag{17}$$

where Q_1, Q_2 and Q_3 are also constants, is the non-minimal susceptibility tensor describing the linear coupling of the dual tensor F^*_{mn} with curvature. As in the previous case, the combination ϕF^*_{mn} gives the tensor quantity. The tensor

$$\Re^{mn}_{(\mathrm{A})} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\eta_1\left(F^{ml}R^n_{\ l} + F^{nl}R^m_{\ l}\right) + \eta_2 R g^{mn} + \eta_3 R^{mn} \tag{18}$$

describes a non-minimal susceptibility for the pseudoscalar field in analogy with [53], but in this case it contains a term linear in the Maxwell tensor. This term describes effects analogous to the so-called derivative coupling in the non-minimal scalar field theory [31, 32, 33]. As for the tenth coupling constant $\eta_{(\mathrm{A})}$, it is a direct analog of the well-known coupling constant ξ in the non-minimal scalar field theory (see, e.g., [30] for review and references).

The tensors $\mathcal{R}^{ikmn}$ and $\chi^{ikmn}_{(\mathrm{A})}$, defined by (14) and (17), are skew-symmetric with respect to transposition of the indices i and k, as well as m and n. In addition, the following relations take place

$$\mathcal{R}^{ikmn} = \mathcal{R}^{mnik}\,, \quad \chi^{ikmn}_{(\mathrm{A})} = \chi^{mnik}_{(\mathrm{A})}\,, \tag{19}$$

which guarantee that the model under consideration does not contain the solutions of the skewon type [27]. The tensor $\Re^{mn}_{(\mathrm{A})}$ is explicitly symmetric, i.e. $\Re^{mn}_{(\mathrm{A})} = \Re^{nm}_{(\mathrm{A})}$.

2.2.2 Non-minimal electrodynamic equations

Electrodynamic equations, which correspond to the Lagrangian (12) with (1) and (13), are linear and have the standard form

$$\nabla_k H^{ik} = I^i \,. \tag{20}$$

The excitation tensor H^{ik} and the Maxwell tensor F_{mn} are linked by the linear constitutive law

$$H^{ik} \equiv F^{ik} + \mathcal{R}^{ikmn} F_{mn} + \left[\phi \left(F^{*ik} + \chi^{ikmn}_{(\mathrm{A})} F^{*}_{mn}\right)\right] . \tag{21}$$

The second term on the right-hand side is the curvature-induced polarization-magnetization, appearing in the non-minimally extended pure Einstein-Maxwell model [47]. The contribution detailed in square brackets is the one of the axion type, the terms ϕ and F^{*ik} enter the equations in the multiplicative form only (see, e.g., [8, 35] for more details). The non-minimal axion contribution $\phi \chi^{ikmn}_{(\mathrm{A})} F^{*}_{mn}$ is a new term proposed here.

The four-vector of an effective electric current

$$I^i \equiv \frac{1}{2} \eta_1 \nabla_k \left[\left(R^{km} \nabla^i \phi - R^{im} \nabla^k \phi \right) \nabla_m \phi \right] \tag{22}$$

is proportional to the coupling parameter η_1 and is due to the first term in (18). This four-vector does not contain Maxwell tensor and satisfies the conservation law $\nabla_i I^i = 0$. It contains both the Ricci tensor and the pseudoscalar field; thus, it describes the electric current induced by curvature and axion field derivatives.

2.2.3 Non-minimal equation for the pseudoscalar field

Non-minimally extended master equation for the pseudoscalar ϕ takes the form

$$\nabla_m \left[\left(g^{mn} + \Re^{mn}_{(\mathrm{A})} \right) \nabla_n \phi \right] + \left[m^2_{(\mathrm{A})} + \eta_{(\mathrm{A})} R \right] \phi = -\frac{1}{4} F^{mn} F^{*}_{mn} - \frac{1}{4} \chi^{ikmn}_{(\mathrm{A})} \, F_{ik} F^{*}_{mn} \,, \tag{23}$$

where $\Re^{mn}_{(\mathrm{A})}$ and $\chi^{ikmn}_{(\mathrm{A})}$ are given by (18) and (17), respectively. This equation is a non-minimal generalization of (8).

2.2.4 Non-minimal equations for the gravitational field

Variation of the action functional (12) with (1) and (13) with respect to g^{ik} gives the non-minimally extended equations for the gravitational field

$$\left(R_{ik} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{ik} \right) (1 + \kappa \Theta) = \kappa \left[T^{(\mathrm{EM})}_{ik} + T^{(\mathrm{A})}_{ik} + T^{(\mathrm{NMEM})}_{ik} + \mathcal{T}^{(\mathrm{NMA})}_{ik} \right] . \tag{24}$$

Here the scalar Θ stands for the quantity

$$\Theta \equiv \eta_{(\mathrm{A})} \phi^2 + \frac{1}{2} q_1 F_{mn} F^{mn} + \frac{1}{2} Q_1 \phi F^{*}_{mn} F^{mn} + \left(\frac{1}{2} \eta_3 - \eta_2 \right) \nabla_m \phi \nabla^m \phi \,, \tag{25}$$

with the tensors $T^{(\mathrm{EM})}_{ik}$ and $T^{(\mathrm{A})}_{ik}$ given by (10) and (11), respectively. The non-minimal extension of the stress-energy tensor contains two contributions: first, $T^{(\mathrm{NMEM})}_{ik}$ describing pure non-minimal electromagnetic part (see, e.g., [47] for details); second, the non-minimal axion part $\mathcal{T}^{(\mathrm{NMA})}_{ik}$. These tensors can be specified as follows:

$$T^{(\mathrm{NMEM})}_{ik} = q_1 T^{(1)}_{ik} + q_2 T^{(2)}_{ik} + q_3 T^{(3)}_{ik} \,, \tag{26}$$

$$\mathcal{T}^{(\mathrm{NMA})}_{ik} = Q_1 \mathcal{T}^{(1)}_{ik} + Q_2 \mathcal{T}^{(2)}_{ik} + Q_3 \mathcal{T}^{(3)}_{ik} + \eta_1 \mathcal{T}^{(4)}_{ik} + \eta_2 \mathcal{T}^{(5)}_{ik} + \eta_3 \mathcal{T}^{(6)}_{ik} + \eta_{(\mathrm{A})} \mathcal{T}^{(7)}_{ik} \,, \tag{27}$$

where

$$T^{(1)}_{ik} = -R F_{im} F_k^{\ m} + \frac{1}{2}\left[\nabla_i \nabla_k - g_{ik} \nabla^l \nabla_l\right] \left[F_{mn}F^{mn}\right] \,, \tag{28}$$

$$\begin{gathered} T^{(2)}_{ik} = -\frac{1}{2} g_{ik} \left[\nabla_m \nabla_l \left(F^{mn} F^l_{\ n}\right) - R_{lm} F^{mn} F^l_{\ n}\right] \\ -F^{ln}\left(R_{il}F_{kn} + R_{kl}F_{in}\right) - R^{mn} F_{im} F_{kn} - \frac{1}{2}\nabla^m \nabla_m \left(F_{in}F_k^{\ n}\right) \\ + \frac{1}{2}\nabla_l \left[\nabla_i \left(F_{kn}F^{ln}\right) + \nabla_k \left(F_{in}F^{ln}\right)\right] \,, \end{gathered} \tag{29}$$

$$\begin{gathered} T^{(3)}_{ik} = \frac{1}{4} g_{ik} R^{mnls} F_{mn} F_{ls} - \frac{3}{4} F^{ls}\left(F_i^{\ n} R_{knls} + F_k^{\ n} R_{inls}\right) \\ - \frac{1}{2}\nabla_m \nabla_n \left[F_i^{\ n} F_k^{\ m} + F_k^{\ n} F_i^{\ m}\right] \,, \end{gathered} \tag{30}$$

$$\mathcal{T}^{(1)}_{ik} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left[\nabla_i \nabla_k - g_{ik}\nabla^l \nabla_l\right]\left[\phi F^*_{mn} F^{mn}\right] - \frac{1}{4} g_{ik} R \phi F^*_{mn} F^{mn} \,, \tag{31}$$

$$\begin{gathered} \mathcal{T}^{(2)}_{ik} \equiv -\frac{1}{2}\phi F^{*mn}\left(R_{im}F_{kn} + R_{km}F_{in}\right) + \\ + \frac{1}{4}\nabla_l \left\{\nabla_i \left[\phi\left(F_{kn}F^{*ln} + F^*_{kn}F^{ln}\right)\right] + \nabla_k \left[\phi\left(F_{in}F^{*ln} + F^*_{in}F^{ln}\right)\right]\right\} - \\ - \frac{1}{4} g_{ik} \nabla_n \nabla_m \left[\phi\left(F^{nl}F^{*m}_{\ \ \ l} + F^{*nl}F^m_{\ \ l}\right)\right] - \frac{1}{4}\nabla^m \nabla_m \left[\phi\left(F_{in}F^{*n}_k + F^*_{in}F_k^{\ n}\right)\right] \,, \end{gathered} \tag{32}$$

$$\mathcal{T}^{(3)}_{ik} \equiv -\frac{1}{2}\nabla_m \nabla_n \left[\phi\left(F^{*n}_{\ \ \ i} F^m_{\ \ k} + F^{*n}_{\ \ \ k} F^m_{\ \ i}\right)\right] + \frac{1}{4}\phi F^{*mn}\left(F_{il}R^l_{\ kmn} + F_{kl}R^l_{\ imn}\right) \,, \tag{33}$$

$$\begin{gathered} \mathcal{T}^{(4)}_{ik} \equiv \frac{1}{2} g_{ik}\left(R^l_n - \nabla^l \nabla_n\right)\left(F^{nm}\nabla_m\phi\nabla_l\phi\right) + \frac{1}{2}R^l_n \nabla_l\phi\left(F_i^{\ n}\nabla_k\phi + F_k^{\ n}\nabla_i\phi\right) + \\ + \frac{1}{4}\nabla^l\nabla_l\left[\nabla_m\phi\left(F^m_{\ \ i}\nabla_k\phi + F^m_{\ \ k}\nabla_i\phi\right)\right] + \frac{1}{4}\nabla^l\left[\nabla_i\left(F_k^{\ m}\nabla_m\phi\nabla_l\phi\right) + \nabla_k\left(F_i^{\ m}\nabla_m\phi\nabla_l\phi\right)\right] + \\ + \frac{1}{4}\nabla_m\left[\nabla_i\left(F^{mn}\nabla_k\phi\nabla_n\phi\right) + \nabla_k\left(F^{mn}\nabla_i\phi\nabla_n\phi\right)\right] + \\ + \frac{1}{2}F^{mn}\left(R_{in}\nabla_k\phi + R_{kn}\nabla_i\phi\right)\nabla_m\phi + \frac{1}{2}\left(R^m_i F^n_{\ k} + R^m_k F^n_{\ i}\right)\nabla_m\phi\nabla_n\phi \,, \end{gathered} \tag{34}$$

$$\mathcal{T}^{(5)}_{ik} = R\nabla_i\phi\nabla_k\phi + \left(g_{ik}\nabla_n\nabla^n - \nabla_i\nabla_k\right)\left[\nabla_m\phi\nabla^m\phi\right] \,, \tag{35}$$

$$\mathcal{T}^{(6)}_{ik} = \nabla_m\phi\left[R^m_i\nabla_k\phi + R^m_k\nabla_i\phi\right] - \frac{1}{4}R g_{ik}\nabla_m\phi\nabla^m\phi +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} g_{ik} \nabla_m \nabla_n \left[\nabla^m \phi \nabla^n \phi \right] - \nabla^m \left[\nabla_m \phi \left(\nabla_i \nabla_k \phi \right) \right] . \tag{36}$$

$$\mathcal{T}^{(7)}_{ik} = \left(\nabla_i \nabla_k - g_{ik} \nabla_m \nabla^m \right) \phi^2 . \tag{37}$$

Straightforward calculations show that the following identity takes place:

$$\nabla^k \left\{ (1{+}\kappa\Theta)^{-1} \left[T^{(\mathrm{EM})}_{ik} {+} T^{(\mathrm{A})}_{ik} {+} T^{(\mathrm{NMEM})}_{ik} {+} \mathcal{T}^{(\mathrm{NMA})}_{ik} \right] \right\} = 0 \,, \tag{38}$$

i.e. the total effective stress-energy tensor written in the braces is a conserved quantity.

2.3 Non-minimal constitutive equations for electromagnetic field

Relation (21) is a linear constitutive equation [57, 27, 8] of the following type:

$$H^{ik} = \mathcal{C}^{ikmn} F_{mn} \tag{39}$$

where

$$\mathcal{C}^{ikmn} = g^{ikmn} + \frac{1}{2} \phi \epsilon^{ikmn} + \mathcal{R}^{ikmn} + \frac{1}{2} \phi \left[\chi^{*ikmn}_{(\mathrm{A})} +^{*} \chi^{ikmn}_{(\mathrm{A})} \right] . \tag{40}$$

Here we use the standard definitions for the right and left dualization:

$$\chi^{*ikmn}_{(\mathrm{A})} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \chi^{ikpq}_{(\mathrm{A})} \epsilon_{pq}^{\ \ \ mn} \,, \quad {}^{*}\chi^{ikmn}_{(\mathrm{A})} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ik}_{\ \ \ pq} \chi^{pqmn}_{(\mathrm{A})} \,. \tag{41}$$

The tensor $\mathcal{C}^{ikmn}$ describes the linear response of the material to the electromagnetic field action and contains the information about non-minimal dielectric permittivity and magnetic impermeability, as well as about the non-minimal magneto-electric coefficients in analogy with the standard continuum electrodynamics [57, 58, 59].

Let us mention that in many works (e.g., in [27], [60]-[62]), a constitutive tensor density $\hat{\chi}^{ikmn} = \sqrt{-g}\ \mathcal{C}^{ikmn}$ is used for the description of linear response instead of true tensor $\mathcal{C}^{ikmn}$. In terms of the quantity $\hat{\chi}^{ikmn}$ the condition for no birefringence (no splitting, no retardation) for electromagnetic wave propagation in all directions in the weak field limit gives ten constraint equations on the components of constitutive tensor density (see, e.g., [60]-[63]). With these ten constraints $\hat{\chi}^{ikmn}$ can be rewritten in the following form

$$\hat{\chi}^{ikmn} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-H}\ \psi \left[H^{im} H^{kn} - H^{in} H^{km} \right] + \varphi E^{ikmn} \,, \tag{42}$$

where $H = \det(H_{ik})$ is a determinant of an effective metric H_{ik}, which generates the light cone for electromagnetic wave propagation; ψ is some dilation factor; φ differs from our ϕ by the coefficient two $\phi = 2\varphi$. In case when (42) is not satisfied, birefringence will occur. In case when (42) is satisfied, a pseudoscalar field φ will give a polarization rotation (an optical activity induced by φ). The effects of birefringence and polarization rotation described in terms of constitutive tensor density are studied in the 1970's and 1980's. In particular, constraints on birefringence from pulsar signal observations give the following estimates for the birefringence part $\delta\hat{\chi}^{ikmn}$ of $\hat{\chi}^{ikmn}$ in a weak field:

$$\left| \delta \hat{\chi}^{ikmn} \right| < 10^{-14} - 10^{-16} \,. \tag{43}$$

Using the medium velocity four-vector U^i, normalized by $U^i U_i = 1$, one can decompose $\mathcal{C}^{ikmn}$ uniquely as

$$\mathcal{C}^{ikmn} = \tfrac{1}{2}\left(\varepsilon^{im}U^kU^n - \varepsilon^{in}U^kU^m + \varepsilon^{kn}U^iU^m - \varepsilon^{km}U^iU^n\right) + \\ +\tfrac{1}{2}\left[-\eta^{ikl}(\mu^{-1})_{ls}\eta^{mns} + \eta^{ikl}(U^m\nu_l{}^n - U^n\nu_l{}^m) + \eta^{lmn}(U^i\nu_l{}^k - U^k\nu_l{}^i)\right] . \tag{44}$$

Here ε^{im} is the dielectric permittivity tensor, $(\mu^{-1})_{pq}$ is the magnetic impermeability tensor, and $\nu_{p\cdot}^{\ m}$ is the tensor of magneto-electric coefficients. These quantities are defined as follows:

$$\varepsilon^{im} = 2\mathcal{C}^{ikmn}U_kU_n\,, \quad (\mu^{-1})_{pq} = -\frac{1}{2}\eta_{pik}\mathcal{C}^{ikmn}\eta_{mnq}\,,$$

$$\nu_p{}^m = \eta_{pik}\mathcal{C}^{ikmn}U_n = U_k\mathcal{C}^{mkln}\eta_{lnp}\,. \tag{45}$$

We use the symbols η_{mnl} and Δ^{ik} for the tensors

$$\eta_{mnl} \equiv \epsilon_{mnls}U^s\,, \quad \Delta^{ik} = g^{ik} - U^iU^k\,, \tag{46}$$

orthogonal to U^i. The tensors ε_{ik} and $(\mu^{-1})_{ik}$ are symmetric, but ν_{lk} is in general non-symmetric. These three tensors are orthogonal to U^i,

$$\varepsilon_{ik}U^k = 0, \quad (\mu^{-1})_{ik}U^k = 0, \quad \nu_l{}^kU^l = 0 = \nu_l{}^kU_k\,. \tag{47}$$

Using expression (40) one can calculate the tensors ε^{im}, $(\mu^{-1})_{im}$ and ν^{pm} explicitly. The symmetric dielectric permittivity tensor

$$\varepsilon^{im} = \Delta^{im}\left[1{+}q_1R{+}q_2R^{pq}U_pU_q\right] + q_2R_{pq}\Delta^{ip}\Delta^{mq}{+} \\ {+}2q_3R^{ipmq}U_pU_q{+}Q_3\phi\ U_pU_q\left({}^*R^{ipmq}{+}R^{*ipmq}\right) , \tag{48}$$

as well as the symmetric magnetic impermeability tensor

$$\left(\mu^{-1}\right)^{im} = \Delta^{im}\left[1{+}q_1R{+}q_2R^{pq}U_pU_q\right] {-}q_2R_{pq}\Delta^{ip}\Delta^{mq}{-} \\ {-}2q_3\ {}^*R^{*ipmq}U_pU_q{+}Q_3\phi\ U_pU_q\left({}^*R^{ipmq}{+}R^{*ipmq}\right) \tag{49}$$

do not contain coupling parameters Q_1 and Q_2. The cross-tensor

$$\nu^{pm} = q_2\ \eta^{pmk}R_{kl}U^l + 2q_3\ {}^*R^{plmn}U_lU_n{+} \\ -\Delta^{pm}\phi\left[1{+}\left(Q_1{+}\frac{1}{2}Q_2\right)R\right]{+}Q_3\phi U_lU_n\left({}^*R^{*plmn}{-}R^{plmn}\right) , \tag{50}$$

which gives the optical activity effects (see, e.g., [59]), contains two explicitly distinguished parts. The first part does not contain pseudoscalar field ϕ, is linear in the parameters q_2 and q_3 and describes optical activity induced by direct non-minimal interaction between electromagnetic and gravitational fields (such a model was analyzed in [65, 54]). It is important to mention that this part of the cross-tensor ν^{pm} contains both: skew-symmetric and symmetric terms (see the terms with η_{pmk} and ${}^*R^{plmn}U_lU_n$). The second contribution to ν^{pm}, which is proportional to the pseudoscalar field ϕ, is symmetric with respect to transposition of indices (see the terms, containing the projector Δ^{pm} and the tensor ${}^*R^{*plmn} - R^{plmn}$). It describes an optical activity induced by the axion field, the term $\Delta^{pm}\phi$ relates to the minimal effects, the terms proportional to Q_1, Q_2 and Q_3 relates to non-minimal effects. It is well-known that the non-vanishing cross-tensor ν^{ik} indicates that the medium is optically active, and the rotation of the Faraday type takes place in the course of electromagnetic wave propagation. Thus, one can see directly from (50), that the non-minimal interaction between electromagnetic and axion fields produces curvature-induced optical activity of a new type. Below we consider this effect explicitly by the example of model with pp-wave symmetry.

In table 1, we summarize briefly the information about non-minimal coupling constants.

	Term in the Lagrangian	Physical meaning
q_1	$\frac{1}{2} R F^{mn} F_{mn}$	NMEM susceptibility linear in the Ricci scalar
q_2	$R^{mn} F_{mk} F_n{}^k$	NMEM susceptibility linear in the Ricci tensor
q_3	$\frac{1}{2} R^{ikmn} F_{ik} F_{mn}$	NMEM susceptibility linear in the Riemann tensor
Q_1	$\frac{1}{2} \phi R F^{mn} F^*_{mn}$	NMEM susceptibility induced by the axion with the Ricci scalar
Q_2	$\phi R^{mn} F_{mk} F^{*k}_n$	NMEM susceptibility induced by the axion with the Ricci tensor
Q_3	$\frac{1}{2} \phi R^{ikmn} F_{ik} F^*_{mn}$	NMEM susceptibility induced by the axion with the Riemann tensor
η_1	$-R^n{}_l F^{ml} \nabla_m \phi \nabla_n \phi$	NMEM current induced by the axion field gradient
η_2	$-R \nabla^m \phi \nabla_m \phi$	NM axion-graviton derivative coupling with the Ricci scalar
η_3	$-R^{mn} \nabla_m \phi \nabla_n \phi$	NM axion-graviton derivative coupling with the Ricci tensor
$\eta_{(\mathrm{A})}$	$R\phi^2$	NM correction to the mass square of the axion

Table I. Ten non-minimal (NM) coupling parameters are divided into four subgroups: the first q_1, q_2, q_3; second Q_1, Q_2, Q_3; third η_1, η_2, η_3; and fourth $\eta_{(\mathrm{A})}$. In the second column the terms in the Lagrangian are given in front of which the corresponding coupling parameters are introduced; the parameters of the first subgroup introduce the terms without the pseudoscalar field ϕ; the parameters of the second subgroup relate to the terms linear in ϕ; the terms indicated by η_1, η_2, η_3, are quadratic in the four-gradient of ϕ; and finally, $\eta_{(\mathrm{A})}$ introduces the term quadratic in ϕ. In the last column, we point out the physical meaning of these non-minimal terms, based on decompositions of the constitutive tensors for the electromagnetic (EM) and pseudoscalar fields.

3 Non-minimal models with pp-wave symmetry

3.1 Reduced non-minimal field equations

We consider the line element for the spacetime with pp-wave symmetry in the form [66]

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = 2\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v - L^2 \left\{ \cosh 2\gamma \left[e^{2\beta} (\mathrm{d}x^2)^2 + e^{-2\beta} (\mathrm{d}x^3)^2 \right] + 2 \sinh 2\gamma \mathrm{d}x^2 dx^3 \right\} , \tag{51}$$

where $u = \frac{ct - x^1}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $v = \frac{ct + x^1}{\sqrt{2}}$ are the retarded and the advanced times, respectively; $\beta(u)$ and $\gamma(u)$ are functions of retarded time only, they determine the polarization of gravitational wave field. The case when the gravitational pp-wave field is characterized by one polarization only ($\gamma = 0$) is investigated in detail in [64]. Various properties of pp-waves are discussed, e.g., in [67, 68, 69]. For the metric (51), the Riemann tensor has only three non-vanishing components R_{2u2u}, R_{3u3u} and R_{2u3u}. The Ricci tensor has only one (generally) non-vanishing component R_{uu} and the Ricci scalar is equal to zero identically $R = 0$. For this metric the three Killing vectors, which form an Abelian subgroup of the group G_5 [69], are

$$\xi^i_{(v)} = \delta^i_v , \quad \xi^i_{(2)} = \delta^i_2 , \quad \xi^i_{(3)} = \delta^i_3 \, . \tag{52}$$

The Killing vector $\xi^i_{(v)}$ is a covariant constant null vector orthogonal to $\xi^i_{(2)}$ and $\xi^i_{(3)}$. We suggest here that the axion and electromagnetic field potentials inherit the spacetime symmetry, i.e. the Lie derivatives satisfy the following relations:

$$\pounds_{\xi_{(a)}} \phi = 0 \, , \quad \pounds_{\xi_{(a)}} \mathcal{A}_m = 0 \, , \tag{53}$$

where (a) take the values (v), (2) and (3). As a consequence of (53), the pseudoscalar field and electromagnetic field potential depend on the retarded time only, $\phi = \phi(u)$, $\mathcal{A}_m = \mathcal{A}_m(u)$. Taking into account the Lorentz gauge $\nabla_k \mathcal{A}^k = 0$, we can choose the potential in the form

$$\mathcal{A}_u = \mathcal{A}_v = 0 \, , \quad \mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{A}_2(u) \, , \quad \mathcal{A}_3 = \mathcal{A}_3(u) \, . \tag{54}$$

The next step is to verify that pp-wave symmetry is admitted by the total system of non-minimal master equations. Direct calculations show that for such an ansatz about symmetry the non-minimal equation for pseudoscalar field $\phi(u)$, i.e. the equations (23) with (18), are satisfied identically for arbitrary Q_1,...η_3 and $\eta_{(\mathrm{A})}$, when the axion field is massless, i.e. $m_{(\mathrm{A})} = 0$. Also, the non-minimal electrodynamic equations (20) with (21) and (22) are satisfied identically for arbitrary coupling constants, when the potential takes the form (54). Finally, the non-minimal equations for the gravitational field (24) with (25)-(37) can be reduced to one equation only, namely

$$-\frac{2}{\kappa}\left[\frac{L''}{L} + (\beta')^2 \cosh^2 2\gamma + (\gamma')^2\right]\left[1 + \kappa\eta_{(\mathrm{A})}\phi^2\right] = (\phi')^2\left(1 + 2\eta_{(\mathrm{A})}\right) + 2\eta_{(\mathrm{A})}\phi\phi'' +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{L^2}\left\{\cosh 2\gamma \left[\left(\mathcal{A}_2' e^{-\beta}\right)^2 + \left(\mathcal{A}_3' e^{\beta}\right)^2\right] - 2\sinh 2\gamma \; \mathcal{A}_2'\mathcal{A}_3'\right\} \, . \tag{55}$$

The prime denotes here and below the derivative with respect to the retarded time u. The right-hand side of this equation includes, as usual, the minimal contributions from the tensors $T^{(\mathrm{A})}_{ik}$ and $T^{(\mathrm{EM})}_{ik}$. Only two non-minimal contributions came from the term $\mathcal{T}^{(7)}_{ik}$ (37) and from the term $\kappa\eta_{(\mathrm{A})}\phi^2$ in the expression for Θ (25). The non-minimal coupling constants q_1, q_2, q_3, Q_1 Q_2, Q_3, η_1, η_2, η_3 are non-vanishing, but they happen to be hidden in this pp-wave model. Thus, in the presented model, six functions $\phi(u)$, $\mathcal{A}_2(u)$, $\mathcal{A}_3(u)$, $\beta(u)$, $\gamma(u)$ and $L(u)$ are linked by one equation (55) only, and this model admits very wide possibilities in searching for exact solutions.

3.2 Exact solutions of the pp-wave type: quasi-minimal models with $\eta_{(\mathrm{A})} = 0$

When the non-minimal parameter $\eta_{(\mathrm{A})}$ is vanishing, we deal with a model which looks like minimal, i.e. the key equation for the pp-wave gravitational field

$$-\frac{L''}{L} = (\beta')^2 \cosh^2 2\gamma + (\gamma')^2 + \frac{\kappa}{2}(\phi')^2 +$$

$$+\frac{\kappa}{2L^2}\left\{\cosh 2\gamma\left[\left(\mathcal{A}'_2 e^{-\beta}\right)^2 + \left(\mathcal{A}'_3 e^{\beta}\right)^2\right] - 2\sinh 2\gamma\ \mathcal{A}'_2\mathcal{A}'_3\right\} \tag{56}$$

does not contain non-minimal parameters at all. That is why we indicate this case with arbitrary but hidden parameters q_1, q_2, q_3, Q_1 Q_2, Q_3, η_1, η_2, η_3 and vanishing $\eta_{(\mathrm{A})}$ as *quasi-minimal*. Clearly, the quantity $\left(-\frac{L''}{L}\right)$ in the left-hand side of (56) has to be non-negative. This property of $L(u)$, which has initial value $L(0) = 1$, provides $L(u)$ to vanish at some moment $u = u^*$ $(L(u^*) = 0)$(see, e.g., [68]). This moment of the retarded time relates to the well-known singularity, the physical sense of which is discussed in detail in many books. In [69], a number of papers are quoted, in which exact solutions of (56) are obtained for the pure electromagnetic source ($\phi = 0$). In addition, exact solutions of the model of this type are known for vanishing $\mathcal{A}_k$, when the (pure)scalar field Φ is the source of the pp-wave gravity field (see, e.g., [70]). Finally, when $\mathcal{A}_k = 0$ and $\phi = 0$, we deal with the so-called pure gravitational pp-wave (see, e.g., [64, 67, 68, 69]). We would like to list here only three examples from the wide collection of minimal solutions of the equation (56), which relates to the models with symmetric spacetime of the pp-wave type. The models with symmetric spacetime are characterized by the condition ([67, 69])

$$\nabla_k R^i{}_{mnl} = 0\,. \tag{57}$$

In particular, when $\gamma(u) = 0$, one obtains from (57) that

$$R^2{}_{u2u} = -\left[\frac{L''}{L} + (\beta')^2\right] - \left[2\frac{L'}{L}\beta' + \beta''\right] = \lambda_1\,, \tag{58}$$

$$R^3{}_{u3u} = -\left[\frac{L''}{L} + (\beta')^2\right] + \left[2\frac{L'}{L}\beta' + \beta''\right] = \lambda_2\,, \tag{59}$$

where λ_1 and λ_2 are some constants. The summation of (58) and (59) yields

$$R_{uu} = -\left[\frac{L''}{L} + (\beta')^2\right] = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2\,. \tag{60}$$

Thus, for the symmetric spacetime, the key equation for the (quasi)minimal model can be reduced to

$$\frac{2}{\kappa}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) = (\phi')^2 + \frac{1}{L^2}\left[\left(\mathcal{A}'_2 e^{-\beta}\right)^2 + \left(\mathcal{A}'_3 e^{\beta}\right)^2\right]\,. \tag{61}$$

In more appropriate terms, when

$$L^2 = F(u)\ G(u)\,, \quad 2\beta = \log\frac{F}{G}\,, \tag{62}$$

(58), (59) give two independent equations

$$F''(u) + \lambda_1 F = 0\,, \quad G''(u) + \lambda_2 G = 0\,, \tag{63}$$

which can be easily solved if the signs of the constants λ_1 and λ_2 are fixed.

(i) *First example*
The first model of such type relates to the pure gravitational wave, i.e. to the case when $R_{uu} = 0$. Suggesting that $\lambda_1 = -\lambda_2 \equiv \nu^2$, we obtain immediately from (63) the well-known Petrov's solution [67]

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = 2\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v - \cos^2 \nu u (\mathrm{d}x^2)^2 - \cosh^2 \nu u (\mathrm{d}x^3)^2\,, \tag{64}$$

with

$$F(u) = \cos \nu u\,, \quad G(u) = \cosh \nu u\,. \tag{65}$$

This solution is admissible, when $\phi{=}\phi_0{=}const$, $\mathcal{A}_k{=}0$. The quantity $\sqrt{-g} \equiv \cos \nu u \cosh \nu u$ vanishes at $u^* = \pi/2\nu$.

(ii) *Second example*
When $\mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{A}_3 = 0$, $\beta = \gamma = 0$ and function $\phi(u)$ is linear in the retarded time, i.e. $\phi(u) = \phi_0 + \omega u$, then (56) gives

$$L(u) = \cos \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{2}} \omega u\,, \quad L(0) = 1\,, \quad L'(0) = 0\,. \tag{66}$$

For such a metric one obtains that

$$R^2_{\ u2u} = R^3_{\ u3u} = -\frac{L''}{L} = \frac{\kappa\omega^2}{2} = \lambda_1 = \lambda_2\,. \tag{67}$$

The first zero of the function L is $u = u^* = \frac{\pi}{\omega\sqrt{2\kappa}}$.

(iii) *Third example*
When $\lambda_1 = \nu^2$, $\lambda_1 = -\mu^2$ and $\phi = 0$, there is an exact solution

$$F(u) = \cos \nu u\,, \quad G(u) = \cosh \mu u\,, \tag{68}$$

with

$$\nu^2 = \mu^2 + \frac{\kappa E_0^2}{2}\,. \tag{69}$$

The electromagnetic field in this model is presented by the following potentials:

$$\mathcal{A}_2(u) {=} \frac{E_0}{(\omega^2 {-} \nu^2)} \left[\omega \sin \omega u \cos \nu u {-} \nu \sin \nu u \cos \omega u\right]\,, \tag{70}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_3(u) {=} \frac{E_0}{(\omega^2 {+} \mu^2)} \left[\mu \sin \omega u \sinh \mu u {-} \omega \cos \omega u \cosh \mu u\right]\,. \tag{71}$$

This electromagnetic wave can be considered as a circularly polarized wave, since the physical components of the electric field satisfy the following conditions:

$$E_\perp^2 \equiv -(E_2 E^2 + E_3 E^3) \equiv -g^{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{A}'_\alpha \mathcal{A}'_\beta = E_0^2\,, \quad (\alpha, \beta = 2, 3)\,. \tag{72}$$

When $\eta_{(\mathrm{A})} \neq 0$, the quantity $\left(-\frac{L''}{L}\right)$ can change the sign, since the term $\eta_{(\mathrm{A})}(\phi^2)''$ in the right-hand side of (55) is not positively defined in general case. This means that the singularity $L = 0$ can, in principle, be avoided.

3.3 Exact solutions of the pp-wave type: Non-minimal models with $\eta_{(\mathrm{A})} \neq 0$

Consider now exactly solvable models with non-vanishing coupling constant $\eta_{(\mathrm{A})}$.

3.3.1 Regular model

Let us consider a model with $L(u) \equiv 1$ and $\gamma(u) \equiv 0$. It can be indicated as the regular one, since $det(g_{ik}) = -L^4 \equiv -1$ and can not vanish. Equation for β reduces to

$$-\frac{2}{\kappa} (\beta')^2 \left[1{+}\kappa\eta_{(\mathrm{A})}\phi^2\right] = (\phi')^2 + \eta_{(\mathrm{A})} \left(\phi^2\right)'' + \left(\mathcal{A}_2' e^{-\beta}\right)^2 + \left(\mathcal{A}_3' e^{\beta}\right)^2 . \tag{73}$$

When $\eta_{(\mathrm{A})} = 0$ there is no real solutions of this equation, but such a possibility appears in the non-minimal case. We consider only one example of the exact regular models, it is characterized by

$$\phi = \phi_0 \,, \quad \mathcal{A}_2(u) = \mathcal{A}_2(0) e^{\beta(u)} \,, \quad \mathcal{A}_3(u) = \mathcal{A}_3(0) e^{-\beta(u)} \,, \tag{74}$$

and is possible, when $\eta_{(\mathrm{A})} < 0$ and

$$\phi_0^2 \, |\eta_{(\mathrm{A})}| = 1 + \frac{\kappa}{2} \left[\mathcal{A}_2^2(0) + \mathcal{A}_3^2(0)\right] . \tag{75}$$

The function $\beta(u)$ is arbitrary; we prefer to use the following periodic finite function:

$$\beta(u) = \frac{1}{2}\beta_{(\mathrm{max})}(1 - \cos 2\lambda u) \,, \quad \beta(0) = 0 \,, \quad \beta'(0) = 0 \,. \tag{76}$$

The metric for this non-minimal model is regular:

$$\mathrm{d}s^2{=}2\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v{-} \left\{\exp\left[2\beta_{(\mathrm{max})} \sin^2 \lambda u\right] (\mathrm{d}x^2)^2{+} \exp\left[-2\beta_{(\mathrm{max})} \sin^2 \lambda u\right] (\mathrm{d}x^3)^2\right\} , \tag{77}$$

the potentials of the electromagnetic field and their derivatives are also periodic and regular.

3.3.2 Non-minimal models with symmetric spacetime of the pp-wave type

The key equation for the metric coefficients can be reduced in this case to the relation

$$\frac{2}{\kappa} (\lambda_1{+}\lambda_2) \left[1{+}\kappa\eta_{(\mathrm{A})}\phi^2\right] = (\phi')^2 \left(1{+}2\eta_{(\mathrm{A})}\right) + 2\eta_{(\mathrm{A})}\phi\phi'' {+} \frac{1}{L^2} \left[\left(\mathcal{A}_2' e^{-\beta}\right)^2 + \left(\mathcal{A}_3' e^{\beta}\right)^2\right] . \tag{78}$$

Let us consider three exact solutions of this equation, which can be indicated as the non-minimal ones.

(1) *First exact solution*
Let us suppose that $\lambda_1 = -\lambda_2 \equiv \nu^2$, and we again deal with the Petrov solution (64). This solution is admissible when

$$\left\{(\phi')^2 \left(1{+}2\eta_{(\mathrm{A})}\right) + 2\eta_{(\mathrm{A})}\phi\phi''\right\} \cos^2 \nu u \cosh^2 \nu u {+} \left[(\mathcal{A}_2' \cosh \nu u)^2 + \left(\mathcal{A}_3' \cos^2 \nu u\right)^2\right] = 0 \,. \tag{79}$$

One of the solutions of this equation, which relates to the case $\eta_{(\mathrm{A})}{=}{-}\frac{1}{4}$, is given by

$$\phi(u){=}\phi_0 \cosh \sigma u \,, \quad \phi_0{=} \pm \sqrt{2}\, \frac{E_0}{\sigma} \,, \tag{80}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_2(u){=}\frac{E_0}{(\omega^2{-}\nu^2)} \left[\omega \sin \omega u \cos \nu u{-}\nu \sin \nu u \cos \omega u\right] \,, \tag{81}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_3(u){=}\frac{E_0}{(\omega^2{+}\nu^2)} \left[\nu \sin \omega u \sinh \nu u{-}\omega \cos \omega u \cosh \nu u\right] \,. \tag{82}$$

Here E_0, σ, ω and ν are arbitrary constant. When $\omega^2 = \nu^2$, the expression for $\mathcal{A}_2(u)$ should be replaced by $\mathcal{A}_2(u) = \frac{E_0}{4\nu}(2\nu u + \sin 2\nu u)$. Let us mention that in the paper [70], the case $\xi = 1/4$ is also indicated as the special one ($\eta_{(\mathrm{A})}$ in our notations corresponds to ξ in [70]).

(2) *Second exact solution*
In the case $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = -\mu^2$, an appropriate example of the model is characterized by

$$\beta(u) = 0 \,, \quad L(u) = F(u) = G(u) = \cosh \mu u \,, \quad L(0) = 1 \,, \quad L'(0) = 0 \,, \tag{83}$$

$$R^2{}_{u2u} = R^3{}_{u3u} = -\frac{L''}{L} = -\mu^2 \,, \tag{84}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_2(u){=}\frac{E_0}{(\omega^2{+}\mu^2)} \left[\omega \sin \omega u \cosh \mu u{+}\mu \sinh \mu u \cos \omega u\right] \,, \tag{85}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_3(u){=}\frac{E_0}{(\omega^2{+}\mu^2)} \left[\mu \sin \omega u \sinh \mu u{-}\omega \cos \omega u \cosh \nu u\right] \,, \tag{86}$$

$$\phi(u) = \phi_0 = const \,, \quad \eta_{(\mathrm{A})} < 0 \,, \quad \kappa E_0^2 = 4\mu^2 \left(|\eta_{(\mathrm{A})}| \kappa \phi_0^2 - 1\right) > 0 \,. \tag{87}$$

Again, we deal with circularly polarized electromagnetic wave, whose amplitude E_0 is connected with constant pseudoscalar field by a special manner (87), which is admissible at the non-minimal case only.

(3) *Third exact solution*
When $\lambda_1 = \nu^2$, $\lambda_2 = -\mu^2$, there is a simple non-minimal modification of the solution (68), (69) with constant non-vanishing pseudoscalar field

$$F(u) = \cos \nu u \,, \quad G(u) = \cosh \mu u \,, \quad \phi(u) = \phi_0 \,, \tag{88}$$

$$\nu^2 = \mu^2 + \frac{\kappa E_0^2}{2(1 + \kappa \eta_{(A)} \phi_0^2)} \,, \tag{89}$$

with electromagnetic potentials, given by (70), (71).

3.3.3 Special model

When a pseudoscalar field is constant, i.e. $\phi(u) = \phi_0$, and electromagnetic field is absent, the equation for the gravitational field takes the form

$$\left[\frac{L''}{L} + \left(\beta'\right)^2 \cosh^2 2\gamma + \left(\gamma'\right)^2\right] \left[1 + \kappa\eta_{(\mathrm{A})}\phi_0^2\right] = 0 \,. \tag{90}$$

When $\phi_0^2 = -1/\kappa\eta_{(\mathrm{A})}$, the equations for gravity field are satisfied for arbitrary $L(u)$, $\beta(u)$ and $\gamma(u)$, and we deal with a non-trivial special case. It corresponds to the case when the Ricci scalar disappears from the Lagrangian.

3.3.4 Cheshire smile

When the spacetime is the Minkowski one, and $L = 1$, $\beta = 0$, $\gamma = 0$, the equation (56) yields

$$0 = \left(\phi'\right)^2 + \eta_{(\mathrm{A})} \left(\phi^2\right)'' + \left(\mathcal{A}_2'\right)^2 + \left(\mathcal{A}_3'\right)^2 \,, \tag{91}$$

and this equation admits real solutions when the non-minimal parameter $\eta_{(\mathrm{A})}$ is non-vanishing. The exotic situation when (pure) scalar field does not curve the spacetime, is called in [71] as gravitational Cheshire smile. Here we deal with pseudoscalar field instead of pure scalar one; nevertheless, the physical sense of such a solution remains the same as in [71]. When the electromagnetic field is described by the circularly polarized wave with

$$\mathcal{A}_2(u) = \frac{E}{\lambda} \cos \lambda u \,, \quad \mathcal{A}_3(u) = \frac{E}{\lambda} \sin \lambda u \,, \tag{92}$$

then the pseudoscalar field satisfies the equation

$$\left(\phi'\right)^2 + \eta_{(\mathrm{A})} \left(\phi^2\right)'' + E^2 = 0 \,, \tag{93}$$

whose solution can be represented in quadratures as

$$\pm \left(u + C_2\right) = \int \frac{d\phi}{\sqrt{C_1 \phi^{-\frac{1+2\eta_{(\mathrm{A})}}{\eta_{(\mathrm{A})}}} - \frac{E^2}{1+2\eta_{(\mathrm{A})}}}} \,. \tag{94}$$

One of the explicit particular solutions to (94) is

$$\phi = \phi_0 \cosh ku \,, \quad \eta_{(\mathrm{A})} = -\frac{1}{4} \,, \quad \phi_0 = \sqrt{2}\, \frac{E}{k} \,. \tag{95}$$

Again we used the special value of the non-minimal parameter $\eta_{(\mathrm{A})} = -\frac{1}{4}$ as in [70]. This solution is infinite at $u \to \infty$.

In table 2, we summarize and classify exact solutions obtained above for the model with pp-wave symmetry.

	$\eta_{(\mathrm{A})}=0$	$\eta_{(\mathrm{A})}\neq 0$
$\phi(u)$=ϕ_0 $\phi_0\neq 0$	GW of Petrov's type (64)	Regular periodic GEMW (74) Regular aperiodic GEMW (83) GW with periodic EMW (88) Special solution (90)
$\phi'(u)\neq 0$	Symmetric spacetime of GW type with axion field linear in time (66)	Axion-GEMW of Petrov's type (80) Axion-EMW Cheshire smile (91)
$\phi(u)\equiv 0$	Co-moving GEMW (68)	Co-moving GEMW (68)

Table 2. All the obtained solutions are classified with respect to the pseudoscalar field type: ϕ is a non-vanishing constant ϕ_0; ϕ depends on retarded time and $\phi'(u)\neq 0$; ϕ vanishes (see horizontal lines); as well as, with respect to values of the guiding parameter: $\eta_{(\mathrm{A})}$=0 or $\eta_{(\mathrm{A})}\neq 0$. We distinguish solutions of pure gravitational wave type (GW), co-moving gravito-electromagnetic waves (GEMW), as well as axion-GEMW and axion-EMW. The cases indicated as the solutions for symmetric spacetime relate to the model with covariantly constant Riemann tensor, the solutions of the Petrov type being the particular subcase of such spacetime with vanishing Ricci tensor. In parentheses we indicate the initial formula in the text related to the corresponding solution. The solutions with $\phi(u)\equiv 0$ are not new; they are introduced to complete the table. The solutions with $\eta_{(\mathrm{A})}$=0 have, formally speaking, the same structure as the known minimal solutions for the true scalar field; we focus our attention to these solutions since they are also valid for the pseudoscalar field with arbitrary non-vanishing non-minimal coupling parameters q_1, q_2, q_3, Q_1 Q_2, Q_3, η_1, η_2, η_3, thus belonging to the class of non-minimal solutions.

4 Propagation of electromagnetic waves coupled to the background axion and gravitational pp-wave fields

4.1 Evolutionary equations for the potentials

Consider now *test* electromagnetic waves propagating in an arbitrary direction in the pure pp-wave background with $\gamma(u)=0$. The test electromagnetic field satisfies the non-minimal evolutionary equations (20), (21) with a vanishing current on the right-hand side, and the solutions, describing the axion field $\phi(u)$ and pp-wave gravitational field, are assumed to be unperturbed. We deal below with pure gravitational waves; thus, the Ricci scalar and the Ricci tensor vanish ($R=0$, $R_{uu}=0$), providing that the nonvanishing components of the Riemann tensor are of opposite signs (${R^2}_{u2u}=-{R^3}_{u3u}$). Also, we require the potential four-vector to satisfy the Lorentz gauge condition

$$\nabla_k A^k = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \partial_v A_u + \partial_u A_v + g^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha A_\beta + \frac{2L'}{L}A_v = 0 \,. \tag{96}$$

Let us mention that the problem of propagation of electromagnetic waves coupled to axions in the geometric optics approximation is studied in the paper [72] on the basis of investigation of the Fresnel equation. Here we do not restrict ourselves by this approximation and consider exact solutions of the equations of axion electrodynamics in analogy with solutions of the model of non-minimal optical activity discussed in [73].

Due to condition (96) the first equation from (20) (with $i = u$) accounting for (21) gives the following simple equation for the longitudinal potential $A_v = \xi^i_{(v)} A_i$:

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}} A_v = -\frac{2L'}{L} \partial_v A_v \,, \tag{97}$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$ is the differential operator:

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}} \equiv g^{mn} \partial_m \partial_n = 2\partial_u \partial_v - L^{-2} \left(e^{-2\beta} \partial_2^2 + e^{2\beta} \partial_3^2 \right) \,. \tag{98}$$

Clearly, (97) is an equation for A_v only; it does not include other components of the potential four-vector. The exact solution of this equation takes the form (see [74])

$$A_v = L^{-1}(u) \ B_v(W) \,, \tag{99}$$

where $B_v(W)$ is an arbitrary function of the phase scalar W, given by

$$W \equiv W_0 + \frac{1}{2k_v} \left[k_2^2 \int du L^{-2} e^{-2\beta} + k_3^2 \int du L^{-2} e^{2\beta} \right] + k_v v + k_2 x^2 + k_3 x^3 \,, \tag{100}$$

with arbitrary constant values of W_0, k_2, k_3 and k_v. The wave four-vector K_i

$$K_i \equiv \nabla_i W = -\delta^u_i \frac{1}{2k_v} \left(g^{22} k_2^2 + g^{33} k_3^2 \right) + \delta^v_i k_v + \delta^2_i k_2 + \delta^3_i k_3 \,, \tag{101}$$

is the null four-vector, i.e. $g^{im} K_i K_m = 0$ and the longitudinal component of the electromagnetic potential A_v propagates with the speed of light in vacuum. A special solution $B_v(W) = 0$ has been motivated in [74]. This solution relates to the Landau gauge condition $\xi^i_{(v)} A_i = 0$, and we will use it below. Equations for the transversal components A_2 and A_3 form a coupled system

$$\left[\hat{\mathcal{D}} + 2q_3 R^2{}_{u2u} \partial_v^2 - 2\beta' \partial_v \right] A_2 + e^{2\beta} \left[\phi' \partial_v + 2Q_3 \phi R^2{}_{u2u} \partial_v^2 \right] A_3 = 0 \,, \tag{102}$$

$$\left[\hat{\mathcal{D}} + 2q_3 R^3{}_{u3u} \partial_v^2 + 2\beta' \partial_v \right] A_3 - e^{-2\beta} \left[\phi' \partial_v + 2Q_3 \phi R^3{}_{u3u} \partial_v^2 \right] A_2 = 0 \,. \tag{103}$$

The replacement

$$A_2 = e^{\beta} B_2 \,, \quad A_3 = e^{-\beta} B_3 \tag{104}$$

simplifies these equations yielding

$$\left[\hat{\mathcal{D}} + 2q_3 R^2{}_{u2u} \partial_v^2 \right] B_2 + \left[\phi' \partial_v + 2Q_3 \phi R^2{}_{u2u} \partial_v^2 \right] B_3 = 0 \,, \tag{105}$$

$$\left[\hat{\mathcal{D}} + 2q_3 R^3{}_{u3u} \partial_v^2 \right] B_3 - \left[\phi' \partial_v + 2Q_3 \phi R^3{}_{u3u} \partial_v^2 \right] B_2 = 0 \,. \tag{106}$$

Below we obtain and discuss exact solutions of these equations, suggesting that the functions $\phi(u)$, $L(u)$ and $\beta(u)$ are presented by one of the exact solutions listed in the previous Section.

4.2 Birefringence induced by curvature in the case of vanishing pseudoscalar field ($\phi = 0$)

In order to clarify a physical meaning of the parameter q_3, let us consider the simplest model with $\phi = 0$, i.e. the model without axion-photon interaction. As it was shown in [74], the solution of (102) and (103) at $\phi = 0$ are

$$A_2 = e^{\beta} \mathcal{B}_{(2)}(W_{(2)}) \,, \quad A_3 = e^{-\beta} \mathcal{B}_{(3)}(W_{(3)}) \,, \tag{107}$$

$$A_v = 0 \,, \quad A_u = \frac{1}{k_v L^2} \left[k_2 e^{-\beta} \mathcal{B}_{(2)}(W_{(2)}) + k_3 e^{\beta} \mathcal{B}_{(3)}(W_{(3)}) \right] . \tag{108}$$

Here $\mathcal{B}_{(2)}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{(3)}$ are arbitrary functions of their arguments, $W_{(2)}$ and $W_{(3)}$, given by

$$W_{(2)} = W - q_3 k_v \int_0^u d\tilde{u} R^2{}_{u2u}(\tilde{u}) \,, \quad W_{(3)} = W - q_3 k_v \int_0^u d\tilde{u} R^3{}_{u3u}(\tilde{u}) \,, \tag{109}$$

with the phase W found in (100). The numbers (2) and (3) indicate here the electromagnetic waves with polarization vectors directed along Ox^2 and Ox^3 axes, respectively. For estimations it is convenient to consider a particular case with harmonic functions

$$\mathcal{B}_{(2)} = B^0_{(2)} \cos W_{(2)} \,, \quad \mathcal{B}_{(3)} = B^0_{(3)} \cos W_{(3)} \,, \tag{110}$$

and parameter k_v

$$k_v = \frac{\omega_{(\mathrm{EM})}}{c\sqrt{2}} \, (1 - \cos\theta) \tag{111}$$

explicitly expressed in terms of the electromagnetic wave frequency $\omega_{(\mathrm{EM})}$ and angle θ between the directions of propagation of the gravitational wave ($0x^1$ in our case) and of the electromagnetic one.

Clearly, $W_{(2)} \neq W_{(3)}$, when the corresponding components of the curvature tensor do not coincide, $R^2{}_{u2u} \neq R^3{}_{u3u}$, and thus we deal with the birefringence effect induced by the curvature. The wave four-vectors $K^{(2)}_i = \nabla_i W_{(2)}$ and $K^{(3)}_i = \nabla_i W_{(3)}$ can be represented as follows:

$$K^{(2)}_i = K_i - \delta^u_i q_3 k_v R^2{}_{u2u} \,, \quad K^{(3)}_i = K_i - \delta^u_i q_3 k_v R^3{}_{u3u} \,, \tag{112}$$

where K_i is given by (101). The wave four-vectors $K^{(2)}_i$ and $K^{(3)}_i$ are not longer null four-vectors, since

$$g^{il} K^{(2)}_i K^{(2)}_l = -2 q_3 k^2_v R^2{}_{u2u} \,, \quad g^{il} K^{(3)}_i K^{(3)}_l = -2 q_3 k^2_v R^3{}_{u3u} \,. \tag{113}$$

The frequencies of the waves with polarization along Ox^2 and Ox^3, respectively, (see, e.g., [75] for details), are

$$\omega_{(2)} = \frac{c k_v}{\sqrt{2}} \left[1 - \frac{1}{2k^2_v} \left(g^{22} k^2_2 + g^{33} k^2_3 \right) - q_3 R^2{}_{u2u} \right] ,$$

$$\omega_{(3)} = \frac{c k_v}{\sqrt{2}} \left[1 - \frac{1}{2k^2_v} \left(g^{22} k^2_2 + g^{33} k^2_3 \right) - q_3 R^3{}_{u3u} \right] . \tag{114}$$

These frequencies differ when ${R^2}_{u3u} \neq {R^3}_{u3u}$. The refraction indices $n_{(2)}$ and $n_{(3)}$ can be calculated as follows (see, e.g., [75] for details):

$$n^2_{(2)} \equiv 1 - \left(\frac{c}{\omega_{(2)}}\right)^2 g^{il} K^{(2)}_i K^{(2)}_l = 1 + 2q_3 \left(\frac{ck_v}{\omega_{(2)}}\right)^2 {R^2}_{u2u} \,,$$

$$n^2_{(3)} \equiv 1 - \left(\frac{c}{\omega_{(3)}}\right)^2 g^{il} K^{(3)}_i K^{(3)}_l = 1 + 2q_3 \left(\frac{ck_v}{\omega_{(3)}}\right)^2 {R^3}_{u3u} \,. \tag{115}$$

The difference $\Delta n \equiv n_{(2)} - n_{(3)}$ can be calculated for all model mentioned in the previous section, but we focus now on one example only. Let the test electromagnetic wave propagate in the direction $-x^1$, and the solution for the gravitational field be of the Petrov type, i.e. ${R^2}_{u2u} = -{R^3}_{u3u} = \nu^2$. Then one obtains the explicit expressions for the phase velocities:

$$\frac{v^{(2)}_{\rm ph}}{c} = \frac{\omega_{(2)}}{kc} = 1 - q_3\nu^2 \,, \quad \frac{v^{(3)}_{\rm ph}}{c} = \frac{\omega_{(3)}}{kc} = 1 + q_3\nu^2 \,, \quad k \equiv \frac{k_v}{\sqrt{2}} \,, \tag{116}$$

and for the refraction indices:

$$n_{(2)} = \frac{1 + q_3\nu^2}{1 - q_3\nu^2} \,, \quad n_{(3)} = \frac{1 - q_3\nu^2}{1 + q_3\nu^2} \,, \quad \Delta n = \frac{4q_3\nu^2}{1 - q^2_3\nu^4} \,. \tag{117}$$

This means, first, that the phase velocities differ from each other, one of them being less than the speed of light in vacuum c, while the second being bigger than c. Second, the non-minimal (curvature induced) difference between the refraction indices for the waves with orthogonal polarizations depends on the curvature ν^2 and the non-minimal coupling parameter q_3. Thus, in this illustration, we deal with birefringence in the absence of polarization rotation.

The constraints on the non-minimal coupling parameter from solar system observations and from pulsar data analysis were studied in [76] (the parameter λ in this paper relates to $q_3/4$ in our case). From the timing observations of the binary pulsar PSR B1534+12 signals, Prasanna and Mohanty gave a constraint on q_3 to be $|q_3| < 2.4 \cdot 10^{11}$ cm^2. From more precise observations of the double pulsar system PSR J0737-3039 A/B, the Shapiro time delay (passing PSR J0737-3039 B of mass 1.25 $M_{\rm Sun}$ and radius 10 km) is measured to be 6.21 ± 0.33 μs [77]. The theoretical estimate using general relativity is 6.153 ± 0.26 μs. If we take an upper limit of 0.1 μs for the maximum contribution of the polarization-dependent correction, we have an upper bound $|q_3| < 2.4 \cdot 10^{10}$ cm^2.

4.3 Quasi-minimal model of optical activity ($q_3 = 0$, $Q_3 = 0$) induced by varying pseudoscalar field ($\phi \neq \phi_0$)

Now we consider the model in which the birefringence is absent, but the rotation of polarization is present. Let two non-minimal coefficients q_3 and Q_3 be vanishing; then the exact solutions of (96), (97), (102), (103) are

$$A_2 = e^{\beta(u)} \left\{ E(W) \cos \frac{1}{2}[\phi(u) - \phi(0)] + F(W) \sin \frac{1}{2}[\phi(u) - \phi(0)] \right\} \,, \tag{118}$$

$$A_3 = e^{-\beta(u)} \left\{ E(W) \sin\frac{1}{2}[\phi(u){-}\phi(0)] - F(W) \cos\frac{1}{2}[\phi(u){-}\phi(0)] \right\} , \tag{119}$$

$$A_v = 0 \,, \quad A_u = \frac{1}{k_v L^2} \left[k_2 e^{-2\beta(u)} A_2 + k_3 e^{2\beta(u)} A_3 \right] . \tag{120}$$

Here $E(W)$ and $F(W)$ are arbitrary functions of one phase scalar W (100); thus, there is no birefringence. But now we deal with the effect of Faraday rotation, induced by the photon-axion interactions. This is a kind of optical activity induced by the axion field. The effect of the Faraday rotation is described by the rotation phase $\phi(u){-}\phi(0)$, so this effect disappears when the pseudoscalar field is constant. When the pseudoscalar field is a linear function of retarded time (see (66), (67)), the Faraday rotation is uniform. Let us mention again that we indicate this model as quasi-minimal since we suppose that only two non-minimal parameter are vanishing, but other ones are non-vanishing.

4.4 Non-minimal optical activity induced by photon-axion interaction in the case of a constant pseudoscalar field $\phi = \phi_0$

When non-minimal coupling constants are non-vanishing, i.e. $q_3 \neq 0$ and $Q_3 \neq 0$, we search for exact solutions to the system (105), (106) as follows:

$$B_2 = h_1(u) \cos W + h_2(u) \sin W \,, \quad B_3 = -h_3(u) \sin W + h_4(u) \cos W \,. \tag{121}$$

Again W is given by (100), and four functions of the retarded time h_1, h_2, h_3 and h_4 satisfy the following system of linear ordinary first-order differential equations with a coefficient depending on retarded time u:

$$h_1'(u) = -\frac{1}{2}\phi' \; h_4 - q_3 k_v R^2_{\ u2u} \; h_2 + Q_3 \phi \; k_v R^2_{\ u2u} \; h_3 \,,$$

$$h_2'(u) = +\frac{1}{2}\phi' \; h_3 + q_3 k_v R^2_{\ u2u} \; h_1 + Q_3 \phi \; k_v R^2_{\ u2u} \; h_4 \,,$$

$$h_3'(u) = -\frac{1}{2}\phi' \; h_2 - q_3 k_v R^3_{\ u3u} \; h_4 + Q_3 \phi \; k_v R^3_{\ u3u} \; h_1 \,,$$

$$h_4'(u) = +\frac{1}{2}\phi' \; h_1 + q_3 k_v R^3_{\ u3u} \; h_3 + Q_3 \phi \; k_v R^3_{\ u3u} \; h_2 \,. \tag{122}$$

Let the function $\phi(u)$ be presented by a constant value $\phi(u) = \phi_0$. Introducing a new convenient variable τ

$$\tau \equiv k_v \int_0^u R^2_{\ u2u}(\tilde{u}) d\tilde{u} \,, \tag{123}$$

we reduce the system (122) to the following system with constant coefficients:

$$\dot{h}_1(\tau) = -q_3 h_2 + Q_3 \phi_0 h_3 \,, \quad \dot{h}_2(\tau) = q_3 h_1 + Q_3 \phi_0 h_4 \,,$$

$$\dot{h}_3(\tau) = -Q_3 \phi_0 h_1 + q_3 h_4 \,, \quad \dot{h}_4(\tau) = -Q_3 \phi_0 h_2 - q_3 h_3 \,, \tag{124}$$

where the dot denotes the derivative with respect to τ. The characteristic equation for this system of equations with constant coefficients takes the form

$$\left(\lambda^2 + Q_3^2\phi_0^2 + q_3^2\right)^2 = 0\,, \tag{125}$$

and its solutions $\lambda = \pm i\Omega$ are the double ones, where

$$\Omega \equiv \sqrt{q_3^2 + Q_3^2\phi_0^2}\,. \tag{126}$$

Let us mention that (124) in this case can be rewritten in terms of second-order equations:

$$\ddot{h}_a + \Omega^2 h_a = 0\,, \tag{127}$$

where $a = 1, 2, 3, 4$. That is why the exact solution of the system (124)

$$\begin{aligned}
h_1(\tau) &= h_1(0)\cos\Omega\tau - \frac{1}{\Omega}\sin\Omega\tau[q_3\ h_2(0) - Q_3\phi_0\ h_3(0)]\,,\\
h_2(\tau) &= h_2(0)\cos\Omega\tau + \frac{1}{\Omega}\sin\Omega\tau[q_3\ h_1(0) + Q_3\phi_0\ h_4(0)]\,,\\
h_3(\tau) &= h_3(0)\cos\Omega\tau + \frac{1}{\Omega}\sin\Omega\tau[q_3\ h_4(0) - Q_3\phi_0\ h_1(0)]\,,\\
h_4(\tau) &= h_4(0)\cos\Omega\tau - \frac{1}{\Omega}\sin\Omega\tau[q_3\ h_3(0) + Q_3\phi_0\ h_2(0)]\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{128}$$

contains the trigonometric functions $\cos\Omega\tau$ and $\sin\Omega\tau$ only. Thus, the quantity Ω plays a role of frequency of the curvature-induced rotation of the Faraday type. Let us stress that in this model the non-minimal coupling parameters Q_3 and q_3 play equivalent roles, predetermining the pure rotation of the polarization vector without damping. When the pp-wave spacetime is symmetric, i.e. the component ${R^2}_{u2u}$ is constant, one obtains immediately from (123) that τ is linear in the retarded time, e.g. for solution (64) one obtains $\tau = \nu^2 k_v u$.

4.4.1 Constraints on the non-minimal coupling parameters from CMB polarization observations

The parameter Q_3 enters the key formulas in the product $Q_3\phi_0$ and thus relates to the non-minimal axion contribution to the effect of polarization rotation. Let us assume that $q_3 = 0$ and the following simple initial conditions take place

$$h_1(0) = h_3(0) = h_4(0) = 0\,, \quad h_2(0) = B_0 \quad \rightarrow \quad B_2 = B_0\ \sin W\,, \quad B_3 = 0\,, \tag{129}$$

i.e. initially the electromagnetic wave was polarized along the $0x^2$ axis only. Then we obtain from (128)

$$A_2 = B_0 e^{\beta}\cos\left(Q_3\phi_0\tau\right)\sin W\,, \quad A_3 = -B_0 e^{-\beta}\sin\left(Q_3\phi_0\tau\right)\cos W\,. \tag{130}$$

Clearly, when $Q_3\phi_0 \neq 0$, the orthogonal component of the electromagnetic potential A_3 appears; thus, the polarization rotation can exist when the parameter $Q_3\phi_0$ is non-vanishing. The polarization ellipticity can be described in this case by the formula

$$\frac{\left(A_2 e^{-\beta}/B_0\right)^2}{\cos^2\left(Q_3\phi_0\tau\right)} + \frac{\left(A_3 e^{\beta}/B_0\right)^2}{\sin^2\left(Q_3\phi_0\tau\right)} = 1\,. \tag{131}$$

Thus, the polarization rotation rate is predetermined by the function $Q_3\phi_0\tau$, and the quantity

$$[\nabla\phi]_{\text{effective}} = Q_3\phi_0\sqrt{2}\,\left[\frac{H_{(\text{GW})}}{\lambda^2_{(\text{GW})}}\right]\,\frac{\omega_{(EM)}}{c}\,(1-\cos\theta) \tag{132}$$

can be considered as an equivalent of the gradient of the pseudoscalar field for the estimates of the effect. The frequency dependence is different from the Faraday rotation in an ionized medium. From (132), the order of magnitude of $[\nabla\phi]_{\text{effective}}$ from a microwave of frequency 100 GHz is

$$[\nabla\phi]_{\text{effective}} \simeq 4.7\;Q_3\phi_0\left[\frac{H_{(\text{GW})}}{\lambda^2_{(\text{GW})}}\right]\text{cm}^{-1}\,, \tag{133}$$

hence,

$$|\Delta\phi_{\text{effective}}| \simeq 4.7\;\text{cm}^{-1}Q_3\phi_0\left[\frac{H_{(\text{GW})}}{\lambda_{(\text{GW})}}\right]\,. \tag{134}$$

Let $H_{(\text{GW})} = 10^{-15}\xi$, where $\xi \leq 1$; then for

$$\lambda_{(\text{GW})} = \text{Hubble Distance} = 1.3\cdot 10^{28}\text{cm} \tag{135}$$

we have

$$|\Delta\phi_{\text{effective}}| \simeq 3.6\cdot 10^{-43}\xi\text{cm}^{-2}Q_3\phi_0\,. \tag{136}$$

Constraints on cosmic polarization rotation angle φ come from three kinds of observations: (i) polarization observations of radio galaxies; (ii) optical/UV polarization observations of radio galaxies; (iii) CMB polarization observations. Radio observations put a limit of $\Delta\varphi \leq 0.17 - 1.0$ rad over cosmological distance from various types of analysis (see, e.g., [21] for a review). Optical/UV observations put a limit of $\Delta\varphi \leq 0.17$ rad [78, 79]. The QUaD observations [80] on CMB polarization give the most stringent constraint on $\Delta\varphi$ [81]

$$\Delta\varphi = 0.0096 \pm 0.0143 \pm 0.008\ \text{rad}\,. \tag{137}$$

For gravitational waves with a wavelength of the order of the Hubble distance, this is the constraint, $\Delta\varphi_{\text{HD}}$; for shorter wavelength, λ, we have to use the estimate

$$\Delta\varphi_\lambda \simeq \Delta\varphi_{\text{HD}}\left\{\frac{\lambda}{\text{Hubble Distance}}\right\}\,. \tag{138}$$

In the general case, $\Delta\varphi$ depends on directions [22]. Constraints on polarization rotation could also come from solar system observations: the analysis of these data are under study. Combining the estimate (136) with (138) and using the relation $\phi = 2\varphi$, we have the constraint $Q_3\phi_0 < 2\cdot 10^{41}\xi^{-1}\text{cm}^2$.

5 Conclusions

1. We formulated a new *non-minimal ten-parameter* Einstein-Maxwell-axion model, i.e. on the basis of the Lagrangian approach, we derived a non-minimally extended self-consistent (coupled) system of equations for electromagnetic (see equations (20),(21),(22)), pseudoscalar (see equation (23)) and gravitational (see (24)-(37)) fields. The Lagrangian is linear in the curvature tensor and its contractions, and is quadratic in the Maxwell tensor, i.e. we deal with one of the versions of *non-minimal linear axion electrodynamics.*
2. The constitutive tensor (see (40) with (14)-(18)), associated with this model, is manifestly symmetric with respect to transposition of pairs of indices, i.e. this model excludes skewons [27] and describes non-minimal interaction of photons and axions only. An extension of the model accounting for skewon-type interactions will be considered separately.
3. Decomposition of the constitutive tensor demonstrates explicitly that the dielectric permittivity, magnetic impermeability tensors and the tensor of cross-effects (or tensor of magneto-electric coefficients, in other words) acquire non-minimal contributions, including terms proportional to the pseudoscalar (axion) field. Since the tensor of non-minimal cross-effects is non-vanishing, one may conclude that, generally, the curvature-induced effect of *optical activity* is expected, even if the pseudoscalar (axion) field is constant.
4. We applied the non-minimal Einstein-Maxwell-axion model to the case when the spacetime has the *pp-wave* symmetry and all the physical fields inherit this symmetry. The reduced system of equations contains in this case only one key-equation (55). We presented nine examples of exact solutions for the non-minimally coupled electromagnetic, axion and gravitational fields with pp-wave symmetry. One can emphasize three new solutions among them. First, there is a new *regular* solution (see section 3.3.1.), which can appear in the non-minimal model only; the term regularity means that gravitational, electromagnetic and pseudoscalar fields have no singularities and are described by the functions finite everywhere. Second, there exist exact solutions describing circularly polarized electromagnetic waves coupled non-minimally to the axion field and plane gravitational waves (see (80)-(82)). Third, the solution which is known as *Cheshire smile* is also admissible in this model (see section 3.3.4).
5. Discussing the *optical activity* induced by photon-axion interaction, we solved exactly the problem of propagation of test electromagnetic waves coupled non-minimally to the pseudoscalar and gravitational fields in the pp-wave background. According to the classification of the models of photon-axion interaction given in the paper [72], we deal here with the case when the four-gradient of the pseudoscalar field is a null four-vector, i.e. $\nabla_k\phi\nabla^k\phi = 0$. We show explicitly that the non-minimal coupling of the photon and axions with gravitational field generally leads to the *birefringence* effect. We discussed explicit exact solutions which describe the effect of optical activity.
6. Non-minimal Einstein-Maxwell-axion model can give the cosmological solutions of the FLRW and Bianchi-I type, as well as static spherically symmetric solutions of the Reissner-Nordström type. We intend to consider the corresponding exact solutions in the near future.

Acknowledgments
We thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 10875171) and Russian Foundation for Basic Research (Grants No. 08-02-00325-a and 09-05-99015) for support. A.B. is grateful to colleagues from the Center for Gravitation and Cosmology of Purple Mountain Observatory of Chinese Academy of Science for hospitality.

References

[1] Kallosh R, Linde A, Linde D and Susskind L 1995 Gravity and global symmetries *Phys. Rev.* **D52** 912 (arXiv:hep-th/9502069)

[2] Peccei R D 2008 The Strong CP problem and axions *Lect. Notes Phys.* **741** 3 (arXiv:hep-ph/0607268)

[3] Raffelt G G 1990 Astrophysical methods to constrain axions and other novel particle phenomena *Phys. Rep.* **198** 1-113

[4] Turner M S 1990 Windows on the axion *Phys. Rep.* **197** 67-97

[5] Battesti R et al 2008 Axion searches in the past, at present, and in the near future *Lect. Notes Phys.* **741** 199 (arXiv:0705.0615)

[6] Ni W-T 1973 Nonmetric theory of gravity *preprint* (Montana, USA: Montana State University, Bozeman) (http://cgc.pmo.ac.cn)

[7] Ni W-T 1974 Weak equivalence principles and gravitational coupling *Bull. Am. Phys. Soc.* **19** 655

[8] Ni W-T 1977 Equivalence principles and electromagnetism *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **38** 301

[9] Peccei R D and Quinn H R 1977 CP conservation in the presence of pseudoparticles *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **38** 1440

[10] Weinberg S 1978 A new light boson? *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **40** 223

[11] Wilczek F 1978 Problem of strong P and T invariance in the presence of instantons *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **40** 279

[12] Wilczek F 1987 Two applications of axion electrodynamics *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **58** 1799

[13] Bretón N 2000 Exact solutions in Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton-axion theory *Recent developments in gravitation* ed J Ibáñez (España: Univ. País Vasco Proceedings of ERE 99) p 179

[14] Sur S, Das S and SenGupta S 2005 Charged black holes in generalized dilaton-axion gravity *JHEP* **0510** 064 (arXiv: hep-th/050815)

[15] Bassett B A and Kunz M 2004 Cosmic acceleration vs axion-photon mixing *Astrophys. J.* **607** 661 (arXiv: astro-ph/0311495)

[16] Halilsoy M and Sakalli I 2003 Collision of electromagnetic shock waves coupled with axion waves: An Example *Class. Quantum Grav.* **20** 1417 (arXiv: gr-qc/0303102)

[17] Nojiri S, Odintsov S D, Ogushi S, Sugamoto A and Yamamoto M 1999 Axion-dilatonic conformal anomaly from Ads/CFT correspondence *Phys.Lett.* **B 465** 128 (arXiv:hep-th/9908066)

[18] López L A and Bretón N 2007 Asymptotic singular behaviour of inhomogeneous cosmologies in Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton-axion theory *Gen. Relat. Grav.* **39** 153 (arXiv: gr-qc/0608125)

[19] Carroll S M, Field G B and Jackiw R 1990 Limits on a Lorentz- and parity-violating modification of electrodynamics *Phys. Rev.* **D 41** 1231

[20] Carroll S M and Field G B 1991 Einstein equivalence principle and the polarization of radio galaxies *Phys. Rev.* **D 43** 3789

[21] Ni W-T 2008 From equivalence principles to cosmology: cosmic polarization rotation, CMB observation, neutrino number asymmetry, Lorentz invariance and CPT *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* **172** 49 (arXiv:0712.4082)

[22] Ni W-T 2009 Cosmic polarization rotation, cosmological models, and the detectability of primordial gravitational waves *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A 18** & **19** 3493 (arXiv:0903.0756)

[23] Zavattini E et al (PVLAS Collaboration) 2006 Experimental observation of optical rotation generated in vacuum by a magnetic field *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96** 110406

[24] Chen S-J, Mei H-H and Ni W-T 2007 Q & A experiment to search for vacuum dichroism, pseudoscalar-photon interaction and millicharged fermions *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A 22** 2815 (arXiv:hep-ex/0611050)

[25] Zavattini E et al 2008 New PVLAS results and limits on magnetically induced optical rotation and ellipticity in vacuum *Phys. Rev.* **D 77** 032006 (arXiv:0706.3419)

[26] Battesti R et al 2008 The BMV experiment: a novel apparatus to study the propagation of light in a transverse magnetic field *Eur. Phys. J.* **D 46** 323 (arXiv:0710.1703)

[27] Hehl F W and Obukhov Yu N 2003 *Foundations of classical electrodynamics: Charge, flux, and metric* (Boston: Birkhäuser)

[28] Hehl F W and Obukhov Yu N 2005 Linear media in classical electrodynamics and the Post constraint *Phys. Lett.* **A 334** 249 (arXiv:physics/0411038)

[29] Hehl F W and Obukhov Yu N 2005 Measuring a piecewise constant axion field in classical electrodynamics *Phys. Lett.* **A 341** 357 (arXiv:physics/0504172)

[30] Faraoni V, Gunzig E and Nardone P 1999 Conformal transformations in classical gravitational theories and in cosmology *Fundam. Cosm. Phys.* **20** 121 (arXiv:gr-qc/9811047)

[31] Amendola L 1993 Cosmology with non-minimal derivative couplings *Phys. Lett.* **B 301** 175

[32] Capozziello S and Lambiase G 1999 Non-minimal derivative coupling and the recovering of cosmological constant *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **31** 1005 (arXiv:gr-qc/9901051)

[33] Capozziello S, Lambiase G and Schmidt H-J 2000 Non-minimal derivative couplings and inflation in generalized theories of gravity *Annalen Phys.* **9** 39 (arXiv:gr-qc/9906051)

[34] Prasanna A R 1971 A new invariant for electromagnetic fields in curved spacetime *Phys. Lett.* **A 37** 331

[35] Hehl F W and Obukhov Yu N 2001 How does the electromagnetic field couple to gravity, in particular to metric, nonmetricity, torsion, and curvature? *Lect. Notes Phys.* **562** 479 (arXiv:gr-qc/0001010)

[36] Novello M and Salim J M 1979 Nonlinear photons in the universe *Phys. Rev.* **D 20** 377

[37] Drummond I T and Hathrell S J 1980 QED vacuum polarization in a background gravitational field and its effect on the velocity of photons *Phys. Rev.* **D 22** 343

[38] Accioly A J, Vaidya A N and Som M M 1983 Non-minimal coupling and Bianchi type-I cosmologies *Phys. Rev.* **D 28** 1853

[39] Goenner H F M 1984 Theories of gravitation with non-minimal coupling of matter and the gravitational field *Found. Phys.* **14** 865

[40] Turner M S and Widrow L M 1988 Inflation-produced, large-scale magnetic fields *Phys. Rev.* **D 37** 2743

[41] Mohanty S and Prasanna A R 1998 Photon propagation in Einstein and higher derivative gravity *Nucl. Phys.* **B 526** 501 (arXiv:gr-qc/9804017)

[42] Teyssandier P 2004 Variation of the speed of light due to non-minimal coupling between electromagnetism and gravity *Annales Fond. Broglie* **29** 173 (arXiv:gr-qc/0303081)

[43] Lafrance R and Myers R C 1995 Gravity's rainbow: Limits for the applicability of the equivalence principle *Phys. Rev.* **D 51** 2584 (arXiv:hep-th/9411018)

[44] Solanki S K et al 2004 Solar constraints on new couplings between electromagnetism and gravity *Phys. Rev.* **D 69** 062001 (arXiv:gr-qc/0402055)

[45] Kostelecky A and Mewes M 2002 Signals for Lorentz violation in electrodynamics *Phys. Rev.* **D 66** 056005 (arXiv:hep-ph/0205211)

[46] Bamba K and Odintsov S D 2008 Inflation and late-time cosmic acceleration in non-minimal Maxwell-$F(R)$ gravity and the generation of large-scale magnetic fields *JCAP* **0804** 024 (arXiv:0801.0954)

[47] Balakin A B and Lemos J P S 2005 Non-minimal coupling for the gravitational and electromagnetic fields: A general system of equations *Class. Quant. Grav.* **22** 1867 (arXiv:gr-qc/0503076)

[48] Balakin A B, Bochkarev V V and Lemos J P S 2008 Non-minimal coupling for the gravitational and electromagnetic fields: black hole solutions and solitons *Phys. Rev.* **D 77** 084013 (arXiv:0712.4066)

[49] Rubilar G F, Obukhov Yu N and Hehl F W 2003 Torsion non-minimally coupled to the electromagnetic field and birefringence *Class. Quantum Grav.* **20** L185 (arXiv:gr-qc/0305049)

[50] Itin Ya and Hehl F W 2003 Maxwell's field coupled non-minimally to quadratic torsion: Induced axion field and birefringence of the vacuum *Phys. Rev.* **D 68** 127701 (arXiv:gr-qc/0307063)

[51] Balakin A B and Zayats A E 2007 Non-minimal Wu-Yang monopole *Phys. Lett.* **B 644** 294 (arXiv:gr-qc/0612019)

[52] Balakin A B, Sushkov S V and Zayats A E 2007 Non-minimal Wu-Yang wormhole *Phys. Rev.* **D 75** 084042 (arXiv:0704.1224)

[53] Balakin A B, Dehnen H and Zayats A E 2007 Non-minimal Einstein-Yang-Mills-Higgs theory: Associated, color and color-acoustic metrics for the Wu-Yang monopole model *Phys. Rev.* **D 76** 124011 (arXiv:0710.5070)

[54] Balakin A B, Dehnen H and Zayats A E 2008 Non-minimal pp-wave Einstein-Yang-Mills-Higgs model: color cross-effects induced by curvature *Gen. Relat. Grav.* **40** 2493 (arXiv:0803.3442)

[55] Bamba K, Nojiri S and Odintsov S D 2008 Inflationary cosmology and the late-time accelerated expansion of the universe in non-minimal Yang-Mills-$F(R)$ gravity and non-minimal vector-$F(R)$ gravity *Phys. Rev.* **D77** 123532 (arXiv:0803.3384)

[56] Balakin A B, Dehnen H and Zayats A E 2008 Effective metrics in the non-minimal Einstein-Yang-Mills-Higgs theory *Annals of Physics* **323** 2183 (arXiv:0804.2196)

[57] Eringen A C and Maugin G A 1989 *Electrodynamics of continua* (New York: Springer-Verlag)

[58] Landau L D, Lifshitz E M and Pitaevskii L P 1996 *Electrodynamics of continuous media* (Oxford: Butterworth Heinemann)

[59] O'Dell T H 1970 *The electrodynamics of magneto-electric media* (Amsterdam: North-Holland)

[60] Ni W-T 1983 Equivalence principles, their empirical foundations, and the role of precision experiments to test them *Precision measurement and gravity experiment* ed W-T Ni (Taipei, Republic of China: National Tsing Hua Univ.) p 491

[61] Ni W-T 1984 Equivalence principles and precision experiments *Precision measurement and fundamental constants II* eds B N Taylor and W D Phillips (Washington D C, USA: National Bureau of Standarts special publication No 617 US Government printing Office) p 647

[62] Ni W-T 1984 Timing observations of the pulsar propagations in the galactic gravitational field as precision tests of the Einstein equivalence principle *Proceedings of the Second Asian-Pacific Regional Meeting of the International astronomical Union* eds B Hidayat and M W Feast (Jakarta, Indonesia: Tira Pustaka) p 441

[63] Lämmerzahl C and Hehl F W 2004 Riemannian light cone from vanishing birefringence in premetric vacuum electrodynamics *Phys. Rev.* **D 70** 105022 (arXiv:gr-qc/0409072)

[64] Misner C W, Thorne K S and Wheeler J A 1973 *Gravitation* (San Francisco: Freeman)

[65] Balakin A B and Lemos J P S 2001 Singular behaviour of electric and magnetic fields in dielectric media in a non-linear gravitational wave background *Class. Quantum Grav.* **18** 941 (arXiv:gr-qc/0101123)

[66] Bondi H, Pirani F A E and Robinson I 1959 Gravitational waves in general relativity, III, Exact plane waves *Proc. Roy Soc.* **A 251** 519

[67] Petrov A Z 1969 *Einstein spaces* (Oxford UK: Pergamon Press)

[68] Synge J L 1971 *Relativity: the general theory* (Amsterdam: North-Holland)

[69] Stephani H, Kramer D, MacCallum M, Hoenselaers C and Herlt E 2003 *Exact solutions of Einstein's field equations* (Cambridge, UK: University Press)

[70] Ayón-Beato E and Hossaïne M 2005 Scalar fields non-minimally coupled to pp waves *Phys. Rev.* **D 71** 084004 (arXiv:hep-th/0501040)

[71] Ayón-Beato E, Martínez C, Troncoso R and Zanelli J 2005 Gravitational Cheshire effect: Non-minimally coupled scalar fields may not curve spacetime *Phys. Rev.* **D 71**, 104037 (arXiv:hep-th/0505086)

[72] Itin Y 2008 Wave propagation in axion electrodynamics *Gen. Relat. Grav.* **40** 1219 (arXiv:0706.2991)

[73] Balakin A B and Lemos J P S 2002 Optical activity induced by curvature in a gravitational pp-wave background *Class. Quantum Grav.* **19** 4897 (arXiv:gr-qc/0209062)

[74] Balakin A B 1997 Gravitational radiation and birefringence induced by curvature *Class. Quantum Grav.* **14** 2881

[75] Balakin A B, Kerner R and Lemos J P S 2001 Cherenkov radiation in a gravitational wave background *Class. Quantum Grav.* **18** 2217 (arXiv:gr-qc/0012101)

[76] Prasanna A R and Mohanty S 2003 Constraints on non-minimally coupled curved space electrodynamics from astrophysical observations *Class. Quant. Grav.* **20** 3023 (arXiv:gr-qc/0306021)

[77] Kramer M et al 2006 Tests of general relativity from timing the double pulsar *Science* **314** 97 (arXiv:astro-ph/060941)

[78] Cimatti A, di Serego Alighieri S, Field G B and Fosbury R A E 1994 Stellar and scattered light in a radio galaxy at z = 2.63 *Astrophys. J.* **422** 562

[79] di Serego Alighieri S, Field G B and Cimatti A 1995 The physics of the interstellar medium and intergalactic medium *ASP Conference Series* **80** 276

[80] Pryke C et al 2009 Second and third season QUaD CMB temperature and polarization power spectra *Astrophys. J* **692** 1247 (arXiv:0805.1944)

[81] Wu E-Y-S et al 2009 Parity violation constraints using cosmic microwave background polarization spectra from 2006 and 2007 observations by the QUaD polarimeter *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102** 161302 (arXiv:0811.0618)